

Annex 11: Evaluation Design Matrix.

Evaluation Criteria: Relevance and adaptability			
Evaluation Question 1: To what extent are the CF objectives aligned and consistent with the needs, priorities, and policies of the government (including alignment to national development goals and targets, national plans, strategies and frameworks)?			
Assumptions[5] to be assessed	Indicators	Sources of Information	Methods and tools for data collection
Assumption 1 The CF objectives align with government needs, priorities, and policies.	Degree of alignment with national development priorities. - Consistency with government policies and frameworks and policies.	CF Documentation National Development Plans NSDI Stakeholder Interviews MINECOFIN, MIGEPROF Government, UNCT Thematic groups, Results groups, RCO, UN agencies with country program s	Document Review: Analyze CF documents and national development plans. NSTI ,CF (2018-2024)_ Key Informant Interviews and Semi Structured Interviews: Conduct interviews with most supportive CF program Development partners, Government partner at the national and district level and (JP) to gauge alignment. Key informants will be drawn from the different Results Groups and Steering Committee. Stakeholder Surveys: Collect data on perceptions from RCO, UNCT thematic and result groups, donor partners concerning the relevant (JP) co-financing and head of UN agencies responsible for country workplans and alignment .
Evaluation Question 2: To what extent have partnerships with the national government remained strong or faced challenges during emergencies?			
Assumptions to be assessed	Indicators	Sources of Information	Methods and tools for data collection
Assumption 1: Partnerships with the national government remain strong during emergencies.	-Continuity of partnerships during emergencies and unforeseen events. UN's role in promoting national ownership during crises. Joint actions or support to gov't during the emergency	Continuity of partnerships during Covid 19 response. Promotion of national ownership of Covid 19 response Sources of Information UNCT Reports on Covid 19 response Government Reports on Covid 19 response Emergency Response Records	Key Informant Interviews: Gather insights from (JP) implementing partners concerning Covid 19. Document Review: Examine reports related to Covid 19 and other emergence events . Emergency Response Documentation: Analyze records of (JP) on Covid 19 responses.
Evaluation Criteria: Coherence			
Evaluation Question 3: To what extent has the CF strengthened the coherence of support by UNCT members and sought partnerships to enhance results?			

Assumptions to be assessed	Indicators	Sources of Information	Methods and tools for data collection
Assumption 1: The CF enhances coherence among UNCT members and seeks partnerships with various stakeholders.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Level of collaboration within the UNCT. -Number and effectiveness of partnerships with various stakeholders. Alignment of UN (JP) programs towards national policy expected outcomes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stakeholder Interviews with RCO membership on enhanced coherence through (JP), other new program design Document Review of CF stakeholder agency Joint Work programs Other CF Documentation Civil Society Reports in person during field visits on efficacy of partnership at that level 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stakeholder Interviews: Gather perspectives on six representative (JP) partnerships strength and coherence. Document Review: Analyze the selected (JP) documents and reports. Stakeholder Surveys: Obtain quantitative data on partnerships in the context of the sample (JPs) selected.
Evaluation Criteria: Coherence			
Evaluation Question 4: To what extent has the UN system promoted or supported consistent policies across sectors?			
Assumptions to be assessed	Indicators	Sources of Information	Methods and tools for data collection
Assumption 1: UN system activities promote or support consistent policies across sectors.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Consistency of work towards policies across different sectors. -Effectiveness of work towards policy changes in achieving multi-sectoral goals. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Document Review of Joint Work program Sectoral Reports Policy Consistency Assessments 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Document Review: Examine policies and reports for consistency. Sectoral Policy Assessments: Evaluate policies across different sectors.
5. Post UN reform, how effectively have UN agency programs been derived from the CF?			
Assumption 1: UN system activities promote or support consistent policies across sectors.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Alignment of agency work programs with CF goals. - Meaningful integration of CF in agency work plans.. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Document Review of (JP) information Sectoral Reports based on sectors involved in (JPs) . Mission visit and discussion with beneficiaries. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Document Review: Examine policies and reports for consistency. Sectoral Policy Assessments: Policy Consistency Assessments based on feedback from those involved in (JP) implementation
Evaluation Criteria: Effectiveness:			
6. How effective has the CF been in achieving outlined results, benefiting targeted populations?			

Assumptions to be assessed	Indicators	Sources of Information	Methods and tools for data collection
Assumption 1: The CF is effective in achieving the outlined results.	-Achievement of outcomes defined in joint workplans. - Impact on vulnerable populations.	Evaluation Reports Focus Group Data	Study Outcome Evaluation: Conduct surveys, review the sample (JP) studies, and focus groups. Key Informant Interviews: Gather insights on the benefits realized. Institutional Records: Review records for evidence of achieved results.
Evaluation Criteria: Effectiveness:			
Evaluation Question 7: To what extent has the CF contributed to institutional, behavioral, and legislative changes?			
Assumptions to be assessed	Indicators	Sources of Information	Methods and tools for data collection
Assumption 1: The CF contributes to fundamental changes critical for progress.	-Evidence of institutional changes. -Behavioral transformations observed. - Legislative impacts attributed to CF.	Key Informant Interviews based on IP involved in (JPs) across outcomes. Institutional Records based on impact of (JP) implementation. Behavior Change Reports in (JP) monitoring reports	Key Informant and Semi- Structured Interviews based on field and district visits to relevant (JP) across outcomes: Gather perspectives on changes. Institutional Records: Review documents indicating changes.
Evaluation Criteria: Efficiency			
Evaluation Question 8: To what extent has the CF prioritized activities based on needs rather than resource availability?			
Assumptions to be assessed	Indicators	Sources of Information	Methods and tools for data collection
Assumption 1: CF prioritizes activities based on needs rather than resource availability.	-Evidence of demand-side prioritization. -Flexibility in reallocating resources based on changing needs.	(JP) formulation document analyses. Stakeholder consultation concerning CF design and priorities for programming. Resource Allocation Analysis with the OMT and UNCT for monitoring CF Resource Allocation Records Partner Feedback on resource during consultation with stakeholder involved in the sample	Resource Allocation Analysis: Evaluate how resources are allocated against the expected delivery and resources in RRF. Interviews: Gather feedback on prioritization and resource allocation and delivery and gap reduction since MTPR. Partner Feedback: Collect information on how partners perceive resource allocation.

Evaluation Criteria: Efficiency			
Evaluation Question 9: Has the CF reduced transaction costs for partners through greater UN coherence?			
Assumptions to be assessed	Indicators	Sources of Information	Methods and tools for data collection
<p>Assumption 1: CF reduces transaction costs through UN coherence.</p> <p>Assumption 2: UNSCDF is linked to SG Efficiency agenda.</p>	<p>- Evidence of reduced transaction costs i.e. through (JP). - Perceived UN coherence in resource management.</p>	<p>Surveys and Interviews concerning the enhanced coordination framework i.e. fully established RCO office</p> <p>Partner Feedback</p> <p>Transaction Cost Records</p>	<p>Interviews: Collect partner perspectives on transaction costs.</p> <p>Transaction Cost Records: Analyze monitoring data i.e. Annual reports and records to assess changes over time.</p> <p>Partner Feedback: Gather information on partners' experiences with UN coherence and links to SG efficiency agenda.</p> <p>BOS Annual results reports</p>
Evaluation Question 10. To what extent has the transition from UNDAF to CF played a role in making UN joint operations more efficient?			
<p>Assumption 1: UNSCDF is linked to SG Efficiency agenda</p>	<p>-Changes in collaboration efficiency post-transition.</p> <p>- Impact on overall operational effectiveness.</p>	<p>Surveys and Interviews</p> <p>Partner Feedback from UNCT facilitation groups and main results groups</p> <p>Transaction Cost Records i.e. BOS data , UNInFO</p>	<p>Interviews: Collect partner perspectives on transaction costs.</p> <p>Transaction Cost Records: Analyze Annual Report and records to assess changes over time.</p> <p>Partner Feedback: Gather information on partners' experiences with UN coherence and links to SG efficiency agenda.</p> <p>BOS Annual results reports</p>
Evaluation Criteria: Coordination			
Evaluation Question 11: To what extent did the planning and coordination of the CF (through the Results Groups with the RCO support) efficiently contributed to a coherent implementation and the achievement of indicators' targets (outputs and outcomes)?			
Assumptions to be assessed	Indicators	Sources of Information	Methods and tools for data collection
<p>Assumption 1: Planning and coordination through Results Groups contribute to coherent implementation.</p>	<p>Efficiency of planning and coordination in achieving indicators' targets.</p>	<p>Review of UNCT Joint Work Plans</p> <p>Stakeholder Interviews concerning the criteria (JP) implementation Records</p>	<p>Review of Joint Work Plans: Evaluate the effectiveness of planned activities.</p> <p>Stakeholder Interviews: Gather perspectives on planning and coordination.</p>

	Coherence in the implementation process.	Coordination Reports Stakeholder Feedback	Implementation Records: Analyze records to assess coherence. Coordination Reports: Examine reports for insights into the coordination process.
Evaluation Criteria: Coordination			
Evaluation Question 11: To what extent did the planning and coordination of the CF contribute to a coherent implementation?			
Assumptions to be assessed	Indicators	Sources of Information	Methods and tools for data collection
Assumption 1: Successful coordination between the national government and the UN system in implementing joint work plans.	- Evidence of efficient planning by Results Groups. -Coherent implementation leading to target achievement.	Stakeholder Interviews with RCO and UNCT stakeholder involved in the sample. (JP) s and CF monitoring Document Review Coordination Reports at RCO office and also UNCT data collection efforts Stakeholder Feedback during KII and SSI in sample Implementation Data Any initiative.	Stakeholder Interviews: Gather insights on coherence and (policy goals and alignment in terms of un comparative advantages and available resources) and coordination effectiveness. Document Review: Examine (JP) and related work plans and coordination reports. Implementation Data: Analyze data on program implementation, focusing on vulnerable groups.
Evaluation Question 12. To what extent did the national government and the UN system successfully coordinate the implementation of joint work plans and UN agencies' programs?			
Assumption 1: Successful coordination between the national government and the UN system in implementing joint work plans.	-Success of coordination efforts with national government. - Efficient joint work plans implementation.	Stakeholder Interviews with those involved in (JP) implementation and also UNCT coordination ie RCO office and results groups. Document Review Coordination Reports Stakeholder Feedback Implementation Data	Stakeholder Interviews: Gather insights on coordination effectiveness. Document Review: Examine (JP) and related work plans and coordination reports. Implementation Data: Analyze data on program implementation, focusing on vulnerable groups.
Evaluation Criteria: Orientation towards impact			
Evaluation Question 13: To what extent have UN system activities articulated in the CF driven progress towards ToC outcomes?			
Assumptions to be assessed	Indicators	Sources of Information	Methods and tools for data collection
Assumption 1: UN system activities articulated in the	-Evidence of progress towards ToC outcomes.	Impact Assessment Reports Surveys	Impact Assessment (Surveys,): Assess the impact of UN activities.

<p>CF drive progress toward ToC outcomes.</p>	<p>- Active contribution to driving positive changes</p>	<p>(JP) Data Beneficiary focus groups</p>	<p>Surveys: Collect quantitative data on progress towards ToC outcomes based on the CF results framework and UNIFO data primarily.</p> <p>(JP) Data: Analyze in-depth implementation experiences in (JPs) to understand the alignment with ToC outcomes.</p> <p>Beneficiary focus groups</p>
---	--	---	--